## Summary of small System 関口 裕子(東大CNS)



## Outline

- Strangeness —> strangeness enhancement?
- Resonances —> dynamics of hadronic medium?
- PID vn -> collectivity?
- vn vs. collision geometry —> initial conditions?
- Multi particle cumulant -> initial or final?
- Symmetric cumulant -> initial or final?
- Ridge in ee collisions —> thermalization/collectivity in ee?

## Strangeness production relative to pion



Wrap up of measurements available so far: new results from LHC-Run2 for **pp collisions at 13 TeV** and **Xe-Xe collisions at 5.44 TeV**  • High precision measurement at the LHC in fair agreement with STAR results at high multiplicity

אאן

- Only multiplicity plays a role? neither energy nor system dependence observed
- Measurements in small system at RHIC could help to understand the experimental hints

### Baryon to meson ratio



ALI-PREL-135238

## Multiplicity dependence of particle ratio



- Results of  $\phi/K, \Lambda^*/\Lambda, \Sigma^*/\Lambda$  are flat.
- No re-scattering or regeneration.
- K<sup>0\*</sup>/K decrease as dN<sub>ch</sub>/ dη increase in pp and pPb.

▶ Re-scattering?

postQM@Nagoya University,Jun 30th

### Special role of Φ-meson



- $\phi$  /K: Flat or slightly increasing. S<1?
- $\Xi/\phi$ :flat S~2 or slightly increasing in pp and pPb. S<2?
  - According to the results,  $\phi$  behaves as 1<S<2?

## PID v<sub>2</sub> in pPb



ALI-PREL-156487

- New:  $v_2$  of  $\phi$ ,  $\Xi$ ,  $\Omega$ , D in pPb.
- Mass ordering at low pT.
- Baron/meson grouping at intermediate p<sub>T</sub>.

## PID v<sub>2</sub> in small systems in RHIC



Mass ordering at low pt.

#### Not significant in p-Au

## NCQ scaling



ALI-PREL-156557

Light and strange hadrons follows NCQ scaling.

## $D^0 v_2$ in pPb



- $D^0 v_2$  follows NCQ scaling as light hadrons in PbPb.
  - Charm quark may achieve thermalization.
- $D^0 v_2$  is smaller than light hadrons in pPb.
  - Less flow because system size is small or other?

## Heavy flavor v<sub>2</sub> in RHIC





- RdA: enhancement in Au going, which suppression in d going.
- Similar magnitude to charged hadrons.



## $J/\Psi v_2$ in pPb



postQM@Nagoya University,Jun 30th

d+Au?

## Multi particle cumulant

#### How do we calculate observables

$\begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \text{m-particle correlation} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{step 2} \qquad \textbf{m-particle cumulant} \\ c_n \{2\} = \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle_n \\ c_n \{4\} = \langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle_n - 2 \cdot \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle_n^2 \\ c_n \{6\} = \langle \langle 6 \rangle \rangle - 9 \cdot \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle \cdot \langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle + 12 \cdot \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle^3 \\ c_n \{8\} = \langle \langle 8 \rangle \rangle - 16 \cdot \langle \langle 6 \rangle \rangle \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle - 18 \cdot \langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle^2 \\ + 144 \cdot \langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle^2 - 144 \cdot \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle^4 \end{array}$
$\begin{aligned} & \text{flow coefficients} & \text{step} \\ & v_n\{2\} = \sqrt{c_n\{2\}} & v_n\{6\} = \sqrt[6]{\frac{1}{4}c_n\{6\}} \\ & v_n\{4\} = \sqrt[4]{-c_n\{4\}} & v_n\{8\} = \sqrt[8]{-\frac{1}{33}c_n\{8\}} \end{aligned}$	3 $ \begin{bmatrix} v_n \{2\}^2 = \langle v_n \rangle^2 + \sigma_n^2 \\ v_n \{4\}^2 = \langle v_n \rangle^2 - \sigma_n^2 \end{bmatrix}  v_n \{2\} > v_n \{4\} $ Gaussian fluctuations $\rightarrow$ $ v_n \{4\} = v_n \{6\} = v_n \{8\} $

## v<sub>2</sub>, v<sub>3</sub> with Multi-Particle cumulant



 v2 with multi particle decrease as multiplicity increase in pPb. Different trend to PbPb.

•  $v_2\{2\} > v_2\{4\} \gtrsim v_2\{6\} \gtrsim v_2\{8\}$ Non-Gaussian fluctuation??

- First measurement of v<sub>3</sub>{4} in small system
  - Hydro calculation describe data.(arXiv:1405.3976)

### Ratio of $v_n$ {4} and $v_n$ {2}



- $v_2$ {4}/ $v_2$ {2} is larger than  $v_3$ {4}/ $v_3$ {4} in PbPb
  - Global geometry dominant for  $v_{\rm 2}$
- $v_3$ {4}/ $v_3$ {4} is comparable with  $v_2$ {4}/ $v_2$ {2} in pPb
  - initial state fluctuation dominant both for  $v_2$  and  $v_3$
- TRENTo  $\varepsilon_n$ {4}/ $\varepsilon_n$ {2} describe pPb data

## $C_{2}$ {4} in RHIC



- Positive  $c_{2}$ {4} in p+Au, while negative in d+Au.
- If fluctuation  $\sigma v_2$  > mean  $v_2$ ,  $c_2$ {4} is positive.
  - non-flow?

## Multi-particle correlations in d+Au



## Symmetric cumulant

• Symmetric cumulant

 $SC(n,m) = \left\langle v_n^2 v_m^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle v_n^2 \right\rangle \left\langle v_m^2 \right\rangle$ 

 Sensitive to IS fluctuation and medium transport coefficient.
 PRL (2018)120, 092301



SC(2,4) is correlated and SC(2,3) is anti-correlated in PbPb
 Non-flow effect is large in pp and pPb.

## Sub-event multi-particle cumulant



## Sub-event Cumulant



- 3sub method largely suppress non-flow in pp and low multiplicity events in pPb.
- Significant negative c<sub>2</sub>{4} by using 3 sub method in pp.
  - Update from previous QM.

## Correlation between harmonics



- Non-flow suppressed at low multiplicity by using subevent cumulant in pp and pPb.
- Sub-event results of SC(2,3) and SC(2,4) are comparable in pp.
- SC(2,3) to converge at high multiplicity in pPb.
- SC(2,4) results between standard and subevents are different at high multiplicity in pPb.

postQM@Nagoya University,Jun 30th

## Comparison with collision systems



- Normalize to compare with different collision systems.
- Strength of the correlations between harmonics similar between all systems except nsc<sub>2,3</sub>{4} in pp.
  - Similar initial state fluctuation

## System geometry

Hydrodynamics translates initial geometry into final state

Test hydro hypothesis by varying initial state

$\varepsilon_2$	$\varepsilon_3$
0.24	0.16
0.57	0.17
0.48	0.23
$^{\rm I+Au}\approx$	$\varepsilon_{c}^{3\text{He}+\text{Au}}$
	ε <sub>2</sub> 0.24 0.57 0.48

 $\varepsilon_3^{\mathsf{p}+\mathsf{Au}} \approx \varepsilon_3^{\mathsf{d}+\mathsf{Au}} < \varepsilon_3^{\mathsf{3He}+\mathsf{Au}}$ 



## v<sub>2</sub> vs initial geometry



## Non-Flow subtraction methods

#### Two Jet Subtraction Methods

1.Low multiplicity subtraction scaled by short-range ( $|\Delta \eta|$  < 0.5) near-side jet yield

$$V_{n,n}^{HM}(subtracted) = V_{n,n}^{HM} - V_{n,n}^{LM} \times \frac{N_{asso.}^{LM}}{N_{asso.}^{HM}} \times \frac{Y_{jet,near-side}^{HM}}{Y_{jet,near-side}^{LM}}$$



✓ Assumption: short-range near-side jet modification = long-range away-side jet modification

#### 2.Template Fit



 A new developed method to subtract away-side jet contribution by ATLAS:

$$Y_{templ.}(\Delta \phi) = F \times Y_{LM}(\Delta \phi) + Y_{ridge}(\Delta \phi)$$
  
where

$$Y_{ridge}(\Delta \phi) = \mathbf{G} \times (1 + 2 \times \sum_{n=2}^{4} V_{n,n} \times \cos(n\Delta \phi))$$

ATLAS:PRL(116)172301

 Assumption: away-side jet shape can be measured in LM events and scaled by fit parameter "F" due to jet modification

#### It will cause a bias if assumptions are not correct

5/14/18

Shengli Huang





#### p/d+Au v<sub>2</sub> with same <dN/d $\eta$ >





- $\Box$  By LM subtraction method, v<sub>2</sub> in d+Au is a little bit larger than that of p+Au collisions
- v<sub>2</sub> between p+Au and d+Au collisions from template fit is similar, while the initial eccentricities are different by a factor of two

5/14/18 Shengli Huang 12



There is large difference between two methods

- $\Box$  LM subtraction leads to a negative V<sub>2,2</sub> at low energy
  - ✓ Different kinematics between near- and away-side jet-like correlations?
- $\Box$  V<sub>2,2</sub> from template fit increases as a function of <dN/d $\eta$ >

5/14/18

Shengli Huang

13

#### LEP1 Data vs PYTHIA6 N≥35



- Hint of near-side peak in data
  - Consistent with PYTHIA6 without final state effects
  - Contribution from multi-jet correlation
- PYTHIA6 reference limited by archive MC statistics



## $C_n{2} in e-p$



Familiar behaviour: non-flow dominates at small multiplicity and without eta-gap

No flow-like signal seen in high-multiplicity, large eta gap for c2, c3, c4

 $cn{2}$  in e-p is consistent with 0 for large Nch with large  $\eta$  gap.

## Summary

- strangeness -> strangeness enhancement?
  - YES!!
- Resonances -> dynamics of hadronic medium?
  - We saw re-scattering effects from K\*.
- PID v<sub>2</sub> -> collectivity?
  - Mass ordering. Charm flow? What is the origin of large J/psi v<sub>2</sub>?
- v<sub>n</sub> vs. collision geometry -> initial conditions?
  - strong correction with eccentricity
- Multi particle cumulant -> initial or final?
  - $v_2{4}/v_2{2} = v_3{4}/v_3{2}$  in p-Pb (not the case for A-A). Importance of initial fluctuation in  $v_2$
  - c<sub>2</sub>{4}<0 with large rapidity gap (sub event cumulant)</li>
  - $c_2$ {4}<0 in dAu but  $c_2$ {4}>0 in pAu
- Symmetric cumulant -> initial or final?
  - Correlation gets weaker if rapidity gap is required. Same trend in SC(2,4) and SC(2,3) between p-A and A-A. Different in p-p.
- Ridge in ee collisions -> thermalization/collectivity in ee?
  - no ridge in ee collisions. no flow like signal in ee.
  - no

## Thank you for your attention!!

## backup

## $v_2(\eta)$ vs $dN_{ch}/d\eta$ in Geometry Control Scan



- d+Au scales well, but p+Au does not at backward rapidity
- 3D hydrodynamics quantitatively describes the data in p+Au

The event plane is measured in  $-3.9 < \eta < -3.1$ 

## mean pT



- ✓ Steeper increase in  $\langle p_T \rangle$  with multiplicity in smaller systems
- ✓ Mass ordering of <p<sub>T</sub>> in central Pb-Pb
  - $\langle p_T \rangle$  for K<sup>\*0</sup>, p and  $\phi$  similar  $\rightarrow$  expected from hydro

 $M(K^{*0}) = 896 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ ,  $M(p) = 938 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ ,  $M(\phi) = 1019 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ 

✓ Mass ordering breaks down for smaller collision systems
 In pp: <p<sub>T</sub>(φ)> = <p<sub>T</sub>(Ξ)> despite 30% mass difference



# heavy nuclei New results from LHC- Run2 at 7 TeV and 13 TeV vs multiplicity and

first ever observation of anti-<sup>3</sup>He in pp collisions from LHC-Run1 data



- pp spectrum shows no sign of radial flow (spectra hardening is clearly seen in heavy-ion collisions)
- integrated yields reduced of a factor ~1000 when adding a nucleon
  - it is ~ 300 and ~ 600 in Pb-Pb and p-Pb collisions, respectively



## heavy nuclei

36

The formation probability of composite nuclei can be quantified through the coalescence parameter B<sub>A</sub>

 $B_A = \frac{E_A \frac{d^3 N_A}{dp_A^3}}{\left(E_p \frac{d^3 N_p}{dp_p^3}\right)^A}$ 



 No p<sub>T</sub> dependence as suggested by simple coalescence models



## heavy nuclei



POLITECNICO DI TORINO

> Latest results in pp at 7 TeV and 13 TeV fit the trend drawn by other energies/colliding systems at the LHC

news

- matching of pp and p-Pb points at similar multiplicities
- rising with multiplicity explained in coalescence models as due to an increased proton and neutron density

- In pp (and p-Pb) the results point out that the rise in the number of nucleons dominates over the increase in the volume size
- No significant centrality dependence in Pb-Pb collisions in agreement with Thermal-statistical model

#### (Anti-)nuclei production in small systems

New results from LHC- Run2 at 7 TeV and 13 TeV vs multiplicity and first ever observation of anti-<sup>3</sup>He in pp collisions from LHC-Run1 data



Stefania Bufalino - QM2018 in Venice

16

news

### Why study resonances? ✓ Short lifetimes -> can be decayed / regenerated inside the hot and dense matter by final state

interactions -> sensitive to the evolution dynamics **Properties of Hadronic Phase** 

-- Modification of yields and particle ratios as a hint of re-generation/re-scattering effects

#### Kinetic freeze-out

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Receneration: Pseudo-elastic scattering	Resonance	τ(fm/c)	Decay	BR (%)		
	through resonance state e.g., $\pi K \rightarrow K^* \rightarrow \pi K$	ρ <sup>0</sup> (770)	1.3	ππ	100		
	-> increases yield	Δ(1232)	1.7	Νπ	99.4		
<b>Re-scattering:</b> resonance decay products undergo elastic scattering or pseudo-elastic scattering through a different resonance (e.g. $\rho$ ) -> decreases yield		K*(892)	4.2	Κπ	66.6		
	undergo elastic scattering or pseudo-elastic	Σ <sup>*</sup> ±(1385)	5.5	πΛ	87		
	cattering through a different resonance	A*(1520)	12.6	рK	22.5		
	∃ <sup>₀</sup> (1530)	21.7	Ξπ	66.7			
	-> decreases yield	ф(1020)	46.4	КК	48.9		
Chemical - Hadronic phase exists in A-A collisions freeze-out - Is there any hadronic phase in high multiplicity pp and p-Pb events?							
14/05/18	QM2018				2		

#### postQM@Nagoya University,Jun 30th



Ζ

## particle ratio as a function of multiplicity



### Special role of $\phi$



### Strangeness enhancement



Strangeness enhanced from pp to PbPb.

### D<sup>0</sup> – charged hadron correlations

 $185 \le N_{trk} < 250$ 

#### $|m_{inv} - m_{D0}| < 0.005 \text{ GeV}$



## Comparison with collision systems



 Symmetric cumulants consistent between all three systems in the <Nch> range covered by p+p collisions.